

APR - 9 1997

K964533

## 510(k) Summary of Safety & Effectiveness

This 510(k) Summary of Safety and Effectiveness for the EBI SpineLink™ System is provided as required per Section 513(I)(3) of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act.

1. Submitter: Electro-Biology, Inc.

6 Upper Pond Road

Parsippany, NJ 07054

Contact Person: Jon Caparotta

Telephone: (201) 299-9022

Date prepared: April 4, 1997

2. Proprietary Name: EBI SpineLink™ System

Common Name: Posterior pedicle spinal system

Classification Name: Spondylolisthesis Spinal Fixation Device System (Proposed)

- 3. Predicate or legally marketed devices that are substantially equivalent:
  - Webb-Morley Spine System Electro-Biology, Inc.
  - TSRH<sup>®</sup> Spinal System Sofamor Danek
  - Dyna-Lok® Spine System Sofamor Danek
  - Isola® Spine System AcroMed Corporation
  - VSP<sup>®</sup> Plating System AcroMed Corporation
  - KSF Spinal Fixator Tornier SA
- 4. Description of the device: The EBI SpineLink™ System is a posterior pedicle system consisting of fixed and polydirectional pedicle/sacral screws (available in diameters from 5.5 to 7.5 mm), various types of interconnecting links, lock nuts, and different types of washers.

Intended Use: The EBI SpineLink<sup>TM</sup> System (severe spondylolisthesis indication) is intended for patients:
(a) having severe spondylolisthesis (Grades 3 and 4) of the fifth lumbar - first sacral (L5-S1) vertebral joint;
(b) who are receiving fusions using autogenous bone graft only; (c) who are having the device fixed or attached to the lumbar and sacral spine; and (d) who are having the device removed after the development of a solid fusion mass. The screws of the system are limited to L3-S1 or iliac screw fixation.

- 5. Materials: The components of the system are manufactured from Ti-6Al-4V ELI per ASTM F136. The components will be available with and without TiN coating.
- 6. Comparison of the technological characteristics of the device to predicate devices: There are no significant differences between EBI SpineLink<sup>TM</sup> System and other currently marketed spinal systems. It is substantially equivalent\* to the predicate devices in regards to intended use, materials and function. Bench testing comparing the system to a predicate system demonstrated that the device complies with applicable standards and meets all of its functional requirements.

<sup>\*</sup>Any statement made in conjunction with this submission regarding a determination of substantial equivalence to any other product is intended only to relate to whether the product can be lawfully marketed without pre-market approval or reclassification and is not intended to be interpreted as an admission or any other type of evidence in patent infringement litigation. [Establishment Registration and Premarket Notification Procedures, Final Regulation, Preamble, August 23, 1977, FR 42520 (Docket No. 76N-0355.)]